

आयुक्तालय (अपील-I) केंद्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क *
सातमाँ तल, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क भवन,
पोलिटेकनिक के पास, आमबाबाडि,
अहमदाबाद – 380015.

रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

क फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(32)/104/Ahd-I/2016-17 / 2764 कि ८२५८ Stay Appl.No. NA/2016-17

ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-06-2017-18 दिनाँक 29.05.2017 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue

श्री उमा शंकर आयुक्त (अपील-I) द्वारा पारित Passed by Shri. Uma Shanker, Commissioner (Appeal-I)

ग Superintendent, Div-III केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, Ahmedabad-I द्वारा जारी मूल आदेश सं 02/SUPDT/AR-IV/16-17 दिनाँक: 30/12/2016, से सृजित

Arising out of Order-in-Original No. 02/SUPDT/AR-IV/16-17 दिनॉक: 30/12/2016 issued by Superintendent,Div-III Central Excise, Ahmedabad-I

ध अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent

Bodal Chemicals Ltd Ahmedabad

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथारिथित नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person a aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way:

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन

Revision application to Government of India:

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप—धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चांथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।

(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के

(ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

Cruery Lin



... 2 ...

- (ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।
- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.
 - अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केंडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद नें वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।
- (d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत दिनिर्दिष्ट प्रपन्न संख्या इए–8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल–आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो—दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। इसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35—इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर–6 चालान को प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।
 - The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.
- (2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/— फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/— की फीस भुगतान की जाए।
 - The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-
 - Under Section 35B/35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-
- (क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीच न्यायाधिकरण <u>(सिस्टेट)</u> की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ—20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद—380016
- (a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Anmedabad: 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appea) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कर्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.
- ⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है.

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTA. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

(i) amount determined under Section 11 D;

(ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

(iii) amount payable under Rule of the Cenval Ordan Rule of the Servat Ordan Rule of the Servat

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

ORDER-IN-APPEAL

M/s. Bodal Chemicals Limited, Unit IV, Plot No. 252, 253 & 254, Phase-II, GIDC, Vatwa, Ahmedabad [for short - 'appellant'] has filed this appeal against OIO No. 02/Supdt/AR IV/2016-17 dated 30.12.2016, passed by the Superintendent, AR IV, Division III, Ahmedabad-I Commissionerate [for short - 'adjudicating authority'].

- 2. Briefly stated the facts are that a show cause notice dated 12.05.2016 was issued under Section 11A(7A) of the Central Excise Act, 1944, proposing recovery of the CENVAT credit of Rs. 9,868/- during the period from Apr.l 2015 to March 2016, along with interest on the grounds that the duty paid on spares and parts used in the repair and maintenance of capital goods. The notice further proposed penalty on the appellant. This notice was decided vide the impugned OIO wherein he disallowed the CENVAT credit, ordered payment of interest and further proposed penalty on the appellant.
- 3. It is against this OIO that the appellant, feeling aggrieved, has filed this appeal on the grounds that:

(a)the impugned order has been passed in utter violation to the principles of natural justice as the adjudicating authority has failed to consider the submission made by the appellant:

(b) the adjudicating authority was not coorect in examining the admissibility of the said goods under the category of capital goods, when the credit was taken under the category of inputs;

(c) Rule 2(k) of CCR '04 was amended from 1.4.2011, vide notification No. 3/2011-CE (NT) dated 1.3.2011 and consequent to amendment the said rule does not provide the explnataion as considered by the adjudicating authority;

(d) that since the use of the said goods is not in dispute, it was not open for the adjudicating authority to have disallowed the CENVAT credit;

(e)that maintenance and repair are a necessary operation in any factory;

(f) that the OIO refers to notification No. 16/2009-CE(NT) dated 7.7.2009 and board's circular dated 8.7.2010, as amended with effect from 1.4.2011, while the present dispute is concerning the period from April 2015 to March 2016;

(g)that the imposition of penalty is not sustainable.

- 3. Personal hearing in respect of all the three appeals was held on 21.4.2017, wherein Shri N.K.Tiwari. Consultant, appeared on behalf of the appellant. Shri Tiwari, reiterated the grounds of appeal. Shri Hanuman Ram, Superintendent, AR V, division III, Ahmedabad-I appeared on behalf of Revenue.
- 4. I have gone through the facts of the case, the grounds of appeal and the oral submissions made by the consultant and the Superintendent. Before dwelling on to the dispute, I would like to reproduce the relevant extracts of CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004and the extracts of the Circular No. 267/1/2010-Cx.8 dated 8.7.2010:

CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004

'(k) "input" means -

(i) all goods used in the factory by the manufacturer of the final product; or

(ii) any goods including accessories, cleared along with the final product, the value of which is included in the value of the final product and goods used for providing free warranty for final products; or



- (iii) all goods used for generation of electricity or steam for captive use; or
- (iv) all goods used for providing any output service;

but excludes -

(A) light diesel oil, high speed diesel oil or motor spirit, commonly known as petrol;

(B) any goods used for -

(a) construction or execution of works contract of a building or a civil structure or a part thereof; or

(b) laying of foundation or making of structures for support of capital goods,

except for the provision of service portion in the execution of a works contract or construction service as listed under clause (b) of section 66E of the Act;

(C) capital goods except when used as parts or components in the manufacture of a final product:

(D) motor vehicles;

(E) any goods, such as food items, goods used in a guesthouse, residential colony, club or a recreation facility and clinical establishment, when such goods are used primarily for personal use or consumption of any employee; and

(F) any goods which have no relationship whatsoever with the manufacture of a final product.

Explanation. - For the purpose of this clause, "free warranty" means a warranty provided by the manufacturer, the value of which is included in the price of the final product and is not charged separately from the customer; ';

Circular No. 267/11/2010-Cx.8 dated 8.7.2010

- 3. It thus follows from the above judgments that credit on capital goods is available only on items, which are excisable goods covered under the definition of 'capital goods' under CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004 and used in the factory of the manufacturer. As regards 'inputs', they have to be covered under the definition of 'input' under the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004 and used in or integrally connected with the process of actual manufacture of the final product for admissibility of cenvat credit. The credit on inputs used in the manufacture of capital goods, which are further used in the factory of the manufacturer is also available, except for items like cement, angles, channels, CTD or TMT bars and other items used for construction of factory shed, building or laying of foundation or making of structures for support of capital goods. Further, credit shall also not be admissible on inputs used for repair and maintenance of capital goods.
- 5. While the appellant has claimed that the credit on the disputed items was taken as inputs, the adjudicating authority, however, has denied the CENVAT credit in respect of the said goods, on the grounds that these goods are neither covered under <u>capital goods</u> nor <u>inputs</u>. The adjudicating authority, further in para 26, states that "the inputs under reference in the SCN were used for repairs of capital goods, that being so, the CENVAT credit on the said goods is not admissible as these are not falling under the definition of CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004".
- 6. The appellant's contention is that the definition of inputs had undergone a change vide notification No. 3/2011-CE dated 1.3.2011 wherein the explanation relied upon by the adjudicating authority was not there. The appellant further contends that the aforementioned circular dated 8.7.2010, is also not applicable since the definition of inputs has been amended vide the aforementioned notification. In-fact, I find that the definition of inputs, especially the portion relevant to the case was amended vide notification No. 28/2012-CE(NT) dated 20.6.2012. The definition of input as was in vogue during the period of dispute clearly excludes any goods used for construction or execution of works contract of a building or a civil structure or a part thereof: or leying of foundation or making of



structures for support of capital goods, except for the provision of service portion in the execution of a works contract or construction service as listed under clause (b) of section 66E of the Act. The appellant's contention is that the angles, beam joints, HR SS plates, etc. were used for repairs of capital goods. However, the appellant has not specifically mentioned the use of inputs i.e. as to in which capital goods, these inputs were used for repairs and maintenance. The appellant is manufacturer of goods falling under chapter 32 of the Central Excise Tariff Act, 1985. It is therefore, easy to judge without much difficulty, as to for what repairs, the aforementioned goods might have been used for. Without doubt, it would have been used for either repair in foundation or in repair of structures, for support of capital goods. When input credit is not allowed on goods used for laying of foundation or making of structures for support of capital goods, the question of allowing credit on goods used in its repairs, does not arise.

- 7. In view of the foregoing, I do not find any reason for interfering with the impugned order. The appeal is therefore, rejected.
- 8. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

8. The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

3718) क्रि (उमा शंकर)

आयुक्त (अपील्स - I)

Date: 39.05.017

Attested

(Vinod Lukose)

Superintendent (Appeal-I),

Central Excise,

Ahmedabad.

By RPAD.

To, M/s. Bodal Chemicals Limited, Unit IV, Plot No. 252, 253 & 254, Phase-II, GIDC, Vatwa, Ahmedabad

Copy to:-

- 1. The Chief Commissioner, Central Excise, Ahmedabad Zone.
- 2. The Principal Commissioner, Central Excise, Ahmedabad-I.
- 3. The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise Division-III, Ahmedabad-I.
- 4. The Assistant Commissioner, System, Central Excise, Ahmedabad-1.
- 5. Guard File.
- 6. P.A.